

# Obtaining mathematical models purification of industrial waste from heavy metal ions by acid-alkaline activated bentonite

## Obtención de modelos matemáticos para la purificación de residuos industriales de los iones de metales pesados por bentonita activada con ácido-alcalina

Zhanat UZDENBAYEVA [1](#); Yerlan ANDASBAYEV [2](#); Ayazhan AYAGANOVA [3](#)

Received: 04/01/2018 • Approved: 26/01/2018

### Content

[1. Introduction](#)

[2. Methodology](#)

[3. Results](#)

[4. Conclusions](#)

[Bibliographic references](#)

#### ABSTRACT:

The paper is concerned with industrial wastewater cleaning from heavy metals by natural sorbents. The author developed a method of preparing acid-alkali activated bentonite with high sorption capacity in the form of suspensions and pastes. The experimental method was used to obtain a mathematical model: complete factorial analysis at three levels, the equations that describe the impact of all factors studied are derived.

**Keywords:** Natural sorbents, heavy metals, wastewater, mathematical model

#### RESUMEN:

El documento trata de la limpieza de aguas residuales industriales a partir de metales pesados mediante absorbentes naturales. El autor desarrolló un método para preparar bentonita activada por ácido alcalino con alta capacidad de sorción en forma de suspensiones y pastas. El método experimental se utilizó para obtener un modelo matemático: análisis factorial completo en tres niveles, se derivan las ecuaciones que describen el impacto de todos los factores estudiados.

**Palabras clave:** Sorbentes naturales, metales pesados, aguas residuales, modelo matemático

## 1. Introduction

The presence of large deposits of montmorillonite bentonite clays and zeolites allows treating them as the sources of high-quality mineral resources for the production of effective sorbents for the purification of industrial wastewater. This is vital for the Kazakhstan environmental improvement, especially in the mining, ore dressing and metallurgical industrial centers of the Rudny Altai [1, 2 ].

Natural sorbents have a sorption capacity relative to the polar substances: water, alcohols, amine; as well as a high cation exchange capacity.

Among the unique physical and chemical properties of bentonite in natural conditions of its occurrence are the high dispersion that increases hydrophilicity; the presence of colloid-disperse minerals and sol-gel phases that determine the adhesive properties and plasticity of these species as a natural binding materials.

Some natural sorbents are quite active in the natural condition, but most of them require being activated by chemical or thermal means to increase and regulate their porous structure, changes in the chemical nature of the surface.

One of the largest deposits of bentonite montmorillonite clays is Tagansky deposit in East Kazakhstan.

The bentonites of Tagansky deposit according to geotechnical classification of M.S. Merabishvili and M.M. Kravchenko were divided into the following lithological horizons:

Horizon 10 - "clay soil", rich in humus, water-soluble iron compounds, silica, organic residues;

Horizon 11- "geochemical barrier" consisting of carbonates of calcium, magnesium, opal, zeolite;

Horizon 12 - "waxy" bleached argillite located directly under the geochemical carbonate-alkaline barrier "breastplate";

Horizon 13 - "spotty" clay, saturated with clusters of mineral ferri-jarosite and psilomelane;

Horizon 14 - "mother" montmorillonite clay and montmorillonite-kaolinite- halloysite. The upper part of the given level (power of 1 to 2.5 m) is rich in soluble organic compounds, so the color becomes black.

Horizon 15 - variegated clays with numerous streaks of quartz-feldspar coarse sand.

## **1.1. Unsolved research problems**

One of the most important features of these minerals is the presence of isomorphism, which results in the substitution in the crystal lattice of one cation to another.

Bentonite has a maximum exchange speed (exchange capacity of 80-150 mg / eq per 100 g), which can be explained, firstly, by the maximum dispersion of these minerals, and, secondly, by the availability for the exchange of not only external, but also internal mineral surfaces.

In the montmorillonite clays the exchange is being carried out by exchangeable cations of  $\text{Ca}^{++}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{++}$ ,  $\text{H}^+$ ,  $\text{K}^+$ ,  $\text{NH}_3^+$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$  the relative change in the value from  $\text{Ca}^{++}$  to  $\text{Na}^+$  and exchangeable anions  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ,  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ ,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ .

Studies have shown that such methods of cleaning wastes from heavy metals with the use of bentonite without activation, "Magnafloc 10" composite flocculant and bentonite, and thermally activated bentonite can provide a degree of purification to the level maximum permissible concentration for fishery (MACfish).

The author suggests aluminosilicate acid activation technique consisting in acid activation of bentonite by 20% sulfuric acid and neutralization of the aqueous suspension of Horizon 11 bentonite.

The technology for producing aluminosilicate sorbents with increased sorption capacity includes processing bentonite by using acidic reagents under normal conditions. As the acid reactant the 20% sulfuric acid solution with the pH between 1 and 2 was used, and as the alkaline reagent - an aqueous suspension of Horizon 11 bentonite.

Being transferred in the result of acid-alkaline activation to the extremely non equilibrium state, the activated bentonite has chemically reactive mineral matrix, which, according to the Le Chatelier principle, strives to return to its original stable state. At the same time there is a process of regeneration (reductive mineralization), which is involved with all kinds of reactive contaminants, that leads to their irreversible chemisorptions binding with silica-

alumina sorbent. Colloid-dispersion and the sol-gel phase of hydrolyzed aluminosilicate, that contained in sorbent, provides acceleration of flocculation of the dispersed phase of contaminated liquid and suspended solids in it, and colloidal particles followed by their intense. The proposed method allows adding all the required components for cleaning into the water simultaneously. The developed method of cleaning wastewater from heavy metals is focused on the use of cheap natural raw materials in the form of clay rock - aluminosilicates, - which are most environmentally friendly in the environment compared to various chemical reagents.

---

## 2. Methodology

In the process of the study were used General methods of research: methods of analysis of financial statements: horizontal, vertical, ratio, comparison, and other.

To study the system of industrial waste of Kazakhstan were used General scientific and special research methods:

- review of the regulatory framework;
- analytical method;
- economic-mathematical calculations.

### 2.1. Methods

Methods of preparing the acid-alkali activated bentonite that proposed by the author are:

- 1 20% sulfuric acid in an amount of 100 ml is added to bentonite of Horizons 14 in coma in an amount of 170 g;
- 2 Acid activation takes place within 24 hours;
- 3 Separately, an aqueous suspension of Horizon 11 bentonite is prepared. For this purpose, 170 g of bentonite of Horizon 11 is mixed with 100 ml of distilled water;
- 4 The acid activated bentonite of Horizon 14 is added to an aqueous suspension of Horizon 11 bentonite; this is accompanied by activation of the alkaline sorbent until reaching the pH of 7.5 (water extract).

In the author's research, there was used an activation of sulfuric acid because there is a need in sulfuric acid recycling in the region.

The most effective sorbents for the purification of effluent from the POF of heavy metals are Horizons 14, and 13 bentonite, as there is ferri-jarosite mineral in Horizon 14, which is a constituent of  $Al_2(SO_4)_3$   $3Fe_2(SO_4)_3$  coagulants.

By the method of activating active adsorbents for the purification wastewater is produced during activation of bentonite with hot acid for 6 hours.

The sharp increase in activity of acid treated bentonite, as shown, is connected with the substitution of replacement of cations of sodium, calcium, magnesium with  $H^+$ ,  $Al^{3+}$  and an increase in total acidity exchange and the surface area.

When the acid activated bentonite except the replacement of exchangeable cations  $Na^+$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$  for hydrogen ions of activating acid, the crystalline structure of montmorillonite is destroyed. In the exchange position appear  $H^+$  and  $Al^{3+}$  ions. Moreover, the exchange of aluminum ions is considerably greater than that of hydrogen ions. The emergence of  $Al^{3+}$  is probably due to the extraction of the  $Al^{3+}$  from montmorillonite structure under the action of hydrogen ions of activating acid and binding  $Al^{3+}$  with bars to compensate its negative charges. With other conditions being equal, negative charge of neutralization lattice of montmorillonite  $Al^{3+}$  is faster compared to the singly and doubly charged cations.

While in acid treatment of bentonite the value of exchange capacity is reduced, the hydrogen ions activating acid not only displace the exchangeable sodium cations, calcium, magnesium exchange positions, but also penetrate into the interior of the montmorillonite structure and replace magnesium ions, iron, aluminum and other metals from the structure.

This is accompanied by the destruction of montmorillonite structure, and in the exchange position along with the hydrogen ions appear aluminum cations. Thus a certain amount of silica is released, which leads to a 2-4 fold increase in surface activated samples compared to the surface of natural bentonites. Acid treatment does not change the predominant pore sizes (1.5 nm) of initial bentonite, but gives rise to large pores and transient increase of the sample porosity.

In preparing activated sorbent the aqueous suspension of Horizon 11 bentonite is added, wherein the acid activation of an alkaline sorbent takes place; and as a result there appears mineral of tobermorite - calcium hydro silicat. Calcium silicates formed in the acid-alkali activation are capable of ion exchange. The possibility of isomorphous substitution of  $Si^{4+}$  to  $Al^{3+}$  is typical for bentonite - montmorillonite and calcium silicate.

At acid activation ions of hydrogen and aluminum appear in exchange positions. The montmorillonite structure is destroyed;  $SiO_2$  is released, which leads to an increase in available surface for the reacting molecules, and the porosity of sorbent. The process of alkali activation is composed of replacement of cations of  $Ca^{2+}$  by hydrogen ions, while exposure to acid removes impurities and achieved by loosening of the structural skeleton.

It is found that in acidic medium the cation exchange flows at a low rate, as a result of adsorption of hydrogen ions of bentonites by themselves. Cationic exchange on bentonites under alkaline conditions is more active than in the neutral ones, and in the neutral conditions it is stronger than in the acidic. In the treatment by solution of alkali the amount of hydrogen ions exchanged from the bentonites is larger than by treatment with a solution of a neutral salt. The reason is that in the case of bentonite treatment by an alkali solution the calcium ions are replaced by sodium, and hydrogen ions are not only of aluminosilicate complex, and hydrogen ions to hydroxides of silicon and aluminum.

To create a mathematical model was used an experimental method of obtaining a mathematical model of a full factorial analysis at three levels [3].

Plan an experiment to obtain a regression equation in which the response function of  $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3$  will be the degree of purification of effluents from the heavy metals Pb, Zn, Cu, and factors:  $u_1$ - contact time hours;  $u_2$ - initial concentration, mg/dm<sup>3</sup>;  $u_3$ -mass of sorbent, the resulting equations will determine the individual and combined effect of factors on the degree of purification.

The following factors and ranges of variation were selected for construction of the experimental design (Table. 1).

**Table 1**  
The factors and ranges of variation

<b>Factors</b>	<b>The value of the factor in the center <math>\mu_{i0}</math></b>	<b>The interval of variation <math>\delta_i</math></b>
$u_1$ - contact time, h	1,5	0,5
$u_2$ - starting concentration, mg/dm <sup>3</sup>	5	2,5
$u_3$ -mass of sorbents, g	25	5

To assess the reproducibility of the plan at each point (each row of the matrix) done by 2 experience, the results of which are designated  $y_{1j}$  and  $y_{2j}$  accordingly. Randomized experiments, but driven by the extended planning matrix are in order. Table 2 shows a matrix of full factorial experiment at three levels (f.f.e.  $2^3$ ) in physical variables.

Further processing will be correct in the case of homogeneity of variances. The Cochran's criterion is calculated using the formula (G) (1).

$$G = \frac{S_{\max}^2}{\sum_{j=1}^8 S_j^2} \quad (1).$$

If the calculated value of G is less than G, consequently, it is possible to accept the hypothesis of uniformity dispersions S.

The averaged estimate of the variance  $S_{av}$  is calculated by the formula (2).

$$S_{av}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^8 f_j S_j^2 / \sum_{i=1}^8 f_j \quad (2).$$

Because the number of repetitions of the same reproducibility averaged estimate of the variance is defined as the arithmetic average  $S_{avr}$ , formula (3).

$$S_{avr}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^8 f_j S_j^2 / 8 \quad (3).$$

The dispersion of the average value is determined by the formula (4).

$$S^2(\bar{y}) = S_{avr}^2 / 2 \quad (4).$$

The regression coefficients are found by the formula (5).

$$b_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^8 x_{ij} y_j}{8} \quad (5).$$

The regression equation takes the form of the formula (6).

$$y = b_0 + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_3 x_3 + b_{13} x_1 x_3 + b_{12} x_1 x_2 + b_{23} x_2 x_3 + b_{123} x_1 x_2 x_3 \quad (6).$$

The interpretation of the equation evaluation of the nature of the influence of factors will be unreliable if insignificant members are not eliminated. That is why the next analysis stage will be testing the hypothesis of the significance of the regression coefficients.

The variance of the regression coefficient is calculated by the formula (7).

$$S^2(b_i) = S^2(\bar{y}) / n \quad (7).$$

The boundaries of the confidence interval for the ratio of  $b$ , within which may deviate from the true value with a given probability  $\delta b_i = 0,05$  defined by the formula (8).

$$\delta b_i = \pm t S(b_i) \quad (9).$$

Thus, the regression coefficients, smaller in absolute value than  $\delta$ , can be considered as insignificant.

These members can be excluded from the equation. The orthogonality of the plan is the remaining terms of the equation to the exclusion of insignificant do not change.

Residual dispersion is given by formula (10).

$$S_{res}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{16} (\bar{y}_j - y_j^p) / (n - p) \quad (10),$$

where (n - p) - number of degrees of freedom equal to the number of experiments minus the number of significant coefficients.

The adequacy check is carried out by Fisher's criterion, the formula (11).

$$F_{set} = S_{res}^2 / S^2(\bar{y}) \quad (11),$$

If  $F_{set} < F_{cr}$  obtained regression equation adequately.

$$S_{res}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{16} (\bar{y}_j - y_j^p) / (n - p) \quad (10),$$

where (n - p) - number of degrees of freedom equal to the number of experiments minus the number of significant coefficients.

The adequacy check is carried out by Fisher's criterion, the formula (11).

$$F_{set} = S_{res}^2 / S^2(\bar{y}) \quad (11),$$

If  $F_{set} < F_{cr}$  obtained regression equation adequately.

### 3. Results

According to Table 1 the experiments were conducted. The results are shown in Tables 2 - 4. Where a column to a final concentration of heavy metal ions,  $y_{ij}$  - the degree of purification calculated from the formula (12).

$$y_{ij} = 100\% - \frac{C \cdot 100\%}{C_0} \quad (12).$$

Where  $C_0$  - initial concentration, mg / dm<sup>3</sup>,

C - residual concentration, mg / dm<sup>3</sup>,

i - number of experiments.

**Table 2**

The degree of purification of the model solution from Zn<sup>2+</sup> ions, experiment No. 1, 2.

t, h	C <sub>0</sub> , mg/dm <sup>3</sup>	m, g	C <sub>1</sub> , mg/dm <sup>3</sup>	C <sub>2</sub> , mg/dm <sup>3</sup>	y <sub>1j</sub> <sup>Zn</sup> , %	y <sub>2j</sub> <sup>Zn</sup> , %
1	2,5	20	0,81	0,88	67,60	64,80
2	2,5	20	0,40	0,46	84,00	81,60
1	7,5	20	1,85	2,01	75,33	73,20
2	7,5	20	2,83	3,06	62,27	59,20
1	2,5	30	0,84	0,80	66,40	68,00
2	2,5	30	0,80	0,85	68,00	66,00
1	7,5	30	1,78	1,93	76,27	74,27
2	7,5	30	1,13	1,35	84,93	82,00

**Table 3**

The degree of purification of the model solution from Pb<sup>2+</sup> ions, experiment No.1, 2.

t, h	C <sub>0</sub> , mg/dm <sup>3</sup>	m, g	C <sub>1</sub> , mg/dm <sup>3</sup>	C <sub>2</sub> , mg/dm <sup>3</sup>	y <sub>1j</sub> <sup>Pb</sup> , %	y <sub>2j</sub> <sup>Pb</sup> , %
1	2,5	20	0,45	0,42	82,1	83,2
2	2,5	20	0,42	0,38	83,2	84,8
1	7,5	20	1,14	0,96	84,8	87,2
2	7,5	20	0,96	1,02	87,2	86,4
1	2,5	30	0,34	0,22	86,4	91,07
2	2,5	30	0,22	0,15	91,07	94,13
1	7,5	30	0,44	0,57	94,13	92,4
2	7,5	30	0,57	0,39	92,4	94,8

-----

**Table 4**

The degree of purification of the model solution from Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions, experiments No.1, 2.

t, h	C <sub>0</sub> , mg/dm <sup>3</sup>	m, g	C <sub>1</sub> , mg/dm <sup>3</sup>	C <sub>2</sub> , mg/dm <sup>3</sup>	y <sub>1j</sub> <sup>Cu</sup> , %	y <sub>2j</sub> <sup>Cu</sup> , %
1	2,5	20	0,35	0,40	86	84
2	2,5	20	0,20	0,23	92,1	90,8
1	7,5	20	0,50	0,36	93,4	95,2
2	7,5	20	0,76	0,54	89,9	92,8
1	2,5	30	0,86	0,93	65,8	62,67
2	2,5	30	0,81	0,85	67,5	65,87
1	7,5	30	1,70	1,93	77,3	74,27
2	7,5	30	1,18	0,98	84,3	86,93

### 3.1. The analytical aspect

#### The regression equation for model cleaning solution from the Zinc ions

Table 5 shows an expanded matrix f.f.e. 2<sup>3</sup>, where y<sub>1j</sub><sup>Zn</sup>, y<sub>2j</sub><sup>Zn</sup> degree of purification of cleaning wastewaters from Zn ions, the table 2.

**Table 5**

Extended matrix of the f.f.e. 2<sup>3</sup>

$j$	$y_{1j}^{zn}, \%$	$y_{2j}^{zn}, \%$	$\bar{y}_j, \%$	$(y_{1j} - \bar{y}_j)^2$	$(y_{2j} - \bar{y}_j)^2$	$S_j^2$
1	67,60	64,80	66,20	1,96	1,96	3,92
2	84,00	81,60	82,80	1,44	1,44	2,88
3	75,33	73,20	74,27	1,13	1,13	2,27
4	62,27	59,20	60,74	2,36	2,36	4,71
5	66,40	68,00	67,20	0,64	0,64	1,28
6	68,00	66,00	67,00	1,00	1,00	2,00
7	76,27	74,27	75,27	1,00	1,00	2,00
8	84,93	82,00	83,47	2,15	2,15	4,29
					$\sum_{j=1}^8 S_j^2$	23,35

$S_j^2$  is according to formula (13).

$$S_j^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^2 (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_j)^2}{f} \quad (13).$$

Since each  $S_j^2$  dispersion was obtained in two dimensions ( $y_{1j}^{zn}, y_{2j}^{zn}$ ), it corresponds to the number of the degree of freedom  $f = 1$ .

Cochran's criterion for the G, the formula (14) is calculated according to the formula (1)

$$G = \frac{4,71}{23,35} = 0,20 \quad (14).$$

The critical value  $G = 0.6798$ , ( $n = 8$ ;  $f = 1$ ;  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

The obtained value of  $G = 0.20$  is less than the critical  $G = 0.6798$ , according to this, it's a homogeneous dispersion.

The average estimate of the variance of reproducibility, the formula (15) is calculated according to the formula (3).

$$S_{av}^2 = \frac{23,35}{8} = 2,92 \quad (15).$$

Dispersion of the average meaning, formula (16), is calculated according to the formula (4)

$$S^2(\bar{y}) = 3,07 / 2 = 1,46 \quad (16).$$

Using Table 3, the regression coefficients are calculated by the average values for the response function (Table 5). Table 6 shows the supporting calculations.

**Table 6**  
Supplementary table



	$x_0 \bar{y}$	$x_1 \bar{y}$	$x_2 \bar{y}$	$x_3 \bar{y}$	$x_1 x_2 \bar{y}$	$x_1 x_3 \bar{y}$	$x_2 x_3 \bar{y}$	$x_1 x_2 x_3 \bar{y}$
1	66,2	-66,2	-66,2	-66,2	66,2	66,2	66,2	-66,2
2	82,8	82,8	-82,8	-82,8	-82,8	-82,8	82,8	82,8
3	74,27	-74,27	74,27	-74,27	-74,27	74,27	-74,27	74,27
4	60,74	60,74	60,74	-60,74	60,74	-60,74	-60,74	-60,74
5	67,2	-67,2	-67,2	67,2	67,2	-67,2	-67,2	67,2
6	67	67	-67	67	-67	67	-67	-67
7	75,27	-75,27	75,27	75,27	-75,27	-75,27	75,27	-75,27
8	83,47	83,47	83,47	83,47	83,47	83,47	83,47	83,47
$\Sigma$	576,95	11,07	10,55	8,93	-21,73	4,93	38,53	38,53

The coefficients of regression equations, formula (17) - (24) are found according to the formula (5)

$$b_0=576,95/8=72,12 \quad (17)$$

$$b_1=11,07/8=1,38 \quad (18)$$

$$b_2=10,55/8=1,32 \quad (19)$$

$$b_3=8,93/8=1,13 \quad (20)$$

$$b_{12}=-21,73/8=-2,72 \quad (21)$$

$$b_{13}=4,93/8=0,62 \quad (22)$$

$$b_{23}=38,53/8=4,82 \quad (23)$$

$$b_{123}=38,53/8=4,82 \quad (24)$$

The regression equation takes the form of the formula (25).

$$y = 72,12 + 1,38x_1 + 1,32x_2 + 1,13x_3 - 2,72x_1x_2 + 0,62x_1x_3 + 4,82x_2x_3 + 4,82x_1x_2x_3 \quad (25)$$

Interpretation of the equation: the assessment of the nature of the influence of factors will be unreliable if insignificant members are not eliminated.

The variance of the regression coefficient, the formula (26) is found according to the formula (7)

$$S^2(b_i) = 1,46 / 8 = 0,18 \quad (26).$$

And then the dispersion takes the following form, the formula (27)

$$S(b_i) = \sqrt{0,18} = 0,42 \quad (27).$$

Student's coefficient for  $n = 8$ ,  $\alpha = 0,05$   $t = 2,31$ . According to the formula (9) the boundaries of the confidence interval are determined for the  $b_i$  ratio within which  $b_i$ , may deviate from the true value with a given probability  $\alpha = 0,05$  is, the formula (28):

$$\delta b_i = \pm t \cdot S(b_i) = \pm 0,42 \cdot 2,31 = \pm 0,97 \quad (28).$$

Thus, the regression coefficients, which are smaller in absolute value than 0.97 can be considered significant. In the resulting equation the insignificant members will be:  $b_{13} = 0.62$ . These members are excluded from the equation, the formula (29):

$$Y^{Zn} = 72,12 + 1,38x_1 + 1,32x_2 + 1,13x_3 - 2,72x_1x_2 + 4,82x_2x_3 + 4,82x_1x_2x_3 \quad (29).$$

Checking the adequacy of this equation. For each matrix row  $Y_j^{Zn}$  is found, and the residuals  $\overline{y_j} - Y_j^{Zn}$  are calculated. The results are summarized in Table 7.

**Table 7**

Values  $Y_j^{Zn}$  and  $\overline{y_j} - Y_j^{Zn}$  according to the regression equation

$\overline{y_j}, \%$	$Y_j^{Zn}$	$(\overline{y_j} - Y_j^{Zn})^2$
66,20	65,65	0,30
82,80	83,39	0,35
74,27	73,69	0,34
60,74	61,35	0,37
67,20	67,89	0,48
67,00	66,27	0,53
75,27	75,89	0,38
83,47	82,91	0,31
$\Sigma$		3,07

The residual variance, the formula (30) is defined according to the formula (10).

$$S_{set}^2 = 3,07(8 - 7) = 3,07_{(30)},$$

where (8 - 7) is the number of degrees of freedom equal to the number of experiments minus the number of significant coefficients. Fischer's calculated value, formula (31), is found according to the formula (11)

$$F_{res} = 3,07 / 1,46 = 2,10_{(31)}.$$

Tabulated value of the Fisher's criterion for significance level of 0.05;  $f_1 = 1$ ;  $f_2 = 8$  is equal to 5.32, as  $F$  calculated  $< F_{cr}$ ;  $2.10 < 5.32$ , the obtained regression equation is adequate.

The transition from the encoded  $x_i$  to the natural values of the variables  $u_i$  is made according to the formula:

$$x_i = \frac{u_i - u_{i0}}{\delta_i} \quad (32).$$

The transition from coded variables to the natural ones is made according to the formula (32). The regression equation takes the form, the formula (33).

$$Y^{Zn} = -196,57 + 206,15u_1 + 51,82u_2 + 4,05u_3 - 40,7u_1u_2 - 3,85u_1u_3 - 0,76u_2u_3 + 0,77u_1u_2u_3 \quad (33).$$

Results of the Tables 3-4 were treated analogously. And, the following regression equation:

Degree model solution purification from ions  $Pb^{2+}$

$$Y^{Pb} = 62,92 + 1,44u_1 + 0,51u_2 + 0,85u_3 \quad (34).$$

Degree model solution purification from  $Cu^{2+}$  ions

$$Y^{Cu} = 89,93 + 35,4u_1 + 9,33u_2 - 0,93u_3 - 8,5u_1u_2 - 1,26u_1u_3 - 0,29u_2u_3 + 0,34u_1u_2u_3 \quad (35).$$

Field experiment tests were conducted in the laboratory of Berezovskaya polymetallic processing plant which is located in the East Kazakhstan region. The mine water which was used to feed the recycled water processing factory was used as the effluent.

Using the regression model of solution purification, achieved with the help of a full factorial design on three levels, we find the required amount of sorbent for purification of effluents from the lead, copper and zinc ions. According to the formula (36) we define the necessary degree of purifying the wastes from  $\alpha_1$  lead,  $\alpha_2$  zinc,  $\alpha_3$  copper ions, which correspond to the  $MAC_{fish}$ . Formula (37) - (39).

$$Y_{set} = \frac{C_0 - MAC_{fish}}{C_0} \quad (36)$$

where  $C_0$  is the initial concentration of heavy metal in the model solution;  
 $MAC_{fish}$  - allowable concentrations for fishery use.

$$Y_{set}^{Pb} = \frac{2,1 - 0,1}{2,1} \cdot 100\% = 95,23\% \quad (37);$$

$$Y_{set}^{Zn} = \frac{4,7 - 0,01}{4,7} \cdot 100\% = 99,78\% \quad (38);$$

$$Y_{set}^{Cu} = \frac{3,5 - 0,001}{3,5} \cdot 100\% = 99,97\% \quad (39).$$

From the formula (34) the required amount of sorbent is defined,  $Y_{set}^{Pb}$  is the degree of purification of effluents from lead ions,  $u_1$  - contact time of sorbent, 2 hours,  $u_2$  is the initial concentration of 2.1 mg / dm<sup>3</sup>, the formula (40).

$$u^3_{Pb} = \frac{Y_{set}^{Pb} - 61,92 - 1,44u_1 - 0,5u_2}{0,85} = 34 \quad (40).$$

From the formula (33) the required amount of sorbent is defined,  $Y_{set}^{Zn}$  is the degree of purification of effluents from the Zinc ions,  $u_1$  - contact time of sorbent, 2 hours,  $u_2$  - the initial concentration, 4.7 mg / dm<sup>3</sup>, the formula (41).

$$u^3_{Zn} = \frac{Y_{set}^{Zn} + 196,57 - 206,15u_1 - 51,82u_2 + 40,7u_1u_2}{(4,05 - 3,85u_1 - 0,76u_2 + 0,77u_1u_2)} = 36 \quad (41).$$

From the formula (35) the required amount of sorbent is defined,  $Y_{set}^{Cu}$  is the degree of purification of effluents from the copper ions,  $u_1$  - contact time of sorbent, 2 hours,  $u_2$  - the initial concentration 3.5 mg / dm<sup>3</sup>, the formula (42)

$$u^3_{Cu} = \frac{Y_{set}^{Cu} - 89,93 - 35,4u_1 - 9,33u_2 + 8,5u_1u_2}{(-0,93 - 1,26u_1 - 0,29u_2 + 0,3u_1u_2)} = 14,34 \quad (42).$$

According to the regression equation (40-42), to reach the MAC for heavy metals ( $Cu^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$ ) the maximum weight of sorbent must be 36 gram. Also, a series of experiments on the purification of the effluent from heavy metals where the mass of sorbent is 36 gram were conducted. The results are shown in Tables 11-13.

**Table 8**

Activation of the bentonite by 20% sulfuric acid and by neutralization of aqueous bentonite slurry of Horizon 11, the experiment series No.1

Sorbent	Concentration of $Cu^{2+}$ , mg/dm <sup>3</sup>		Degree of purification $\alpha$ , %	Concentration of $Pb^{2+}$ , mg/dm <sup>3</sup>		Degree of purification $\alpha$ , %	Concentration of $Zn^{2+}$ , mg/dm <sup>3</sup>		Degree of purification $\alpha$ , %
	$C_{original}$	$C_{residual}$		$C_{original}$	$C_{residual}$		$C_{original}$	$C_{residual}$	
Horizon 14	3,5	0,001	99,97	2,1	0,1	95,24	1,9	0,01	99,47
Horizon 13	3,5	0,001	99,97	2,1	0,1	95,24	1,9	0,01	99,47

**Table 9**

Activation of the bentonite by 20% sulfuric acid and by neutralization aqueous bentonite slurry of Horizon 11, the experiment series No.2

Sorbent	Concentration of $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ , mg/dm <sup>3</sup>		Degree of purification $\alpha$ , %	Concentration of $\text{Pb}^{2+}$ , mg/dm <sup>3</sup>		Degree of purification $\alpha$ , %	Concentration of $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ , mg/dm <sup>3</sup>		Degree of purification $\alpha$ , %
	$C_{\text{original}}$	$C_{\text{residual}}$		$C_{\text{original}}$	$C_{\text{residual}}$		$C_{\text{original}}$	$C_{\text{residual}}$	
Horizon 14	3,5	0,001	99,97	2,1	0,12	94,29	1,9	0,01	99,47
Horizon 13	3,5	0,001	99,97	2,1	0,13	99,81	1,9	0,01	99,47

-----

**Table 10**

Activation of the bentonite by 20% sulfuric acid and by neutralization by neutralization aqueous bentonite slurry of Horizon 11, the experiment series No.3

Sorbent	Concentration of $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ , mg/dm <sup>3</sup>		Degree of purification $\alpha$ , %	Concentration of $\text{Pb}^{2+}$ , mg/dm <sup>3</sup>		Degree of purification $\alpha$ , %	Concentration of $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ , mg/dm <sup>3</sup>		Degree of purification $\alpha$ , %
	$C_{\text{original}}$	$C_{\text{residual}}$		$C_{\text{original}}$	$C_{\text{residual}}$		$C_{\text{original}}$	$C_{\text{residual}}$	
Horizon 14	3,5	0,001	99,97	2,1	0,15	92,86	1,9	0,01	99,47
Horizon 13	3,5	0,001	99,97	2,1	0,11	94,76	1,9	0,01	99,47

## 4. Conclusions

The uses of acid-alkaline which were activated by the bentonite in the purification of industrial wastewater from heavy metals will improve cleaning efficiency, and the resulting equations will allow to calculate the required amount of sorbent, and thereby to reduce the consumption of sorbents.

The technology of production of silica-alumina, bentonite sorbents in the form of a sorption-active paste of bentonite subjected to acid-alkaline activation was developed.

A mathematical model of sorption purification of effluent from the heavy metal ions ( $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Pb}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ) was built.

### 4.1. Brief description

The degree of purification depends on the initial concentration of the solution, the mass of sorbent and time of contact of sorbent with the solution.

The regression equations describing the process of cleaning wastes in the effluents from heavy metals in the f ( $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Pb}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ ) were derived.

Pilot-scale studies on the cleaning in the Berezovskaya Polymetallic concentrator.

The results showed that the degree of purification from  $\text{Pb}^{2+}$  ions – 92.86%,  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  – 99.47% and  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  99.97%.

## Bibliographic references

- Battalova Sh. B. (1980). Catalysts and adsorbents based on bentonite Taganskii deposit and possible areas of application. Bentonite. Nauka. №2, 97p.
- Mamchenko, A.V., Gerasimenko, N.G., Deshko, I.I., Pustovit, V.M., Pahar', T.A. (2008). Mixed chlorinated coagulant - reagent for natural and waste waters. Chemistry and technology of water.30 (3): 328 – 338.

Sautin S. N. (1975). Design of the Experiment in chemistry and chemical engineering [Text] / SN Sautin. - L .: Chemistry. – 48 p.

Uzdenbaeva ZH.K. (2014). Development of technology for treatment of industrial waste by sorbents. - Germany: LAP Lambert Academic Publishing. - 173 p.

Uzdenbaeva Zh.K. (2015). Treatment of industrial wastes bentonites // International journal of academic research, Vol. 7. No. 2. March, pp 105-108.

Uzdenbaeva Zh.K. (2014). Reducing Pollution of the Transboundary Irtysh River by Means of Industrial wastewater treatment by natural sorbents. 4: 137-144.

Uzdenbaeva, Zh.K., Adryshev, A.K., Hajrullina, A.A., Kravchenko, M.M. (2012). Sorbent based on aluminum compounds: an innovative patent for an invention. Republic of Kazakhstan, B01J20/16. Pat. 25542 Bulletin № 3. Publ. 15.03.2012.

---

1. Mathematical studies in ecology. Department of vocational training and technical subjects. Zhetysu state University named after I. Zhansugurov. Natural-technical faculty. Taldykorgan.[king\\_bara@mail.ru](mailto:king_bara@mail.ru)

2. Academician of the International Academy of Informatization (2011), corresponding member of the Russian Academy of natural Sciences (2013). Zhetysu state University named after I. Zhansugurov. The physics and mathematics faculty. Taldykorgan.[king\\_bara@mail.ru](mailto:king_bara@mail.ru)

3. Mathematical studies in ecology. Head of distance learning center. Academy of economics and Law named U. Zholdasbekov. Taldykorgan.[king\\_bara@mail.ru](mailto:king_bara@mail.ru)

---

Revista ESPACIOS. ISSN 0798 1015  
Vol. 39 (Number 18) Year 2018

[Index]

[In case you find any errors on this site, please send e-mail to [webmaster](mailto:webmaster)]

©2018. revistaESPACIOS.com • ®Rights Reserved